Small Molecule Drug Discovery: Opportunities and Challenges

Ulrich Stilz Sanofi-Aventis Deutschland GmbH Frankfurt





- Lead Generation Second Se
- Lead Optimization Reducing Attrition
- Case Study
 ⇒ Factor Xa
- Emerging Frontiers



Relevant Therapeutic Target Classes



In 2000, all marketed drugs were estimated to target less than 500 biomolecules.

5,000 and 10,000 potential targets on the basis of an estimate on disease relevant genes.

Major therapeutic target classes in pharmaceutical research subdivided into seven families.

Enzymes and receptors most relevant. More than 600 genes encoding GPCRs are known.

Lit: Drews, J. Drug discovery: A historical perspective. Science 2000, 287, 1960–1964.



Biological Opportunity: Number of Drug Targets

Effective number of exploitable drug targets by intersection of number of genes linked to disease with the "druggable" subset of the human genome.

Total number of human genes: ~30,000 Estimated druggable targets: 3,051 (10-14%) Estimation based on protein sequence similarity and properties of known drugs for a family.



Lit: A.L. Hopkins, C.R. Groom. Nature Rev. Drug Disc. 2002, 1, 727-730.



Because health matters



Based on analysis of 1,357 unique drug molecules in 2005.

Drugs approved by FDA (FDA's Orange Book)



Sanofi aventis

Lit: J.P. Overington, B. Al-Lazikani, A.L. Hopkins. Nature Rev Drug Disc. 2006, 5, 993-996.

Dual Approach to New Drugs

"No either – or, but what is better suited"

Small molecules

- Intra- and extracellular targets
- · Oral administration preferred
- Acceptable cost of goods
- Natural products as source for novel antiinfectives
- Challenges through drug metabolism and DDI



- Applicable to extracellular targets (proteins)
- High specificity
- Substitution therapy
- New Platforms emerging
- Parenteral application necessary
- · Cost of goods is a potential issue
- Logistics challenges (i.e refrigeration)



Drug Discovery Value Chain

Exploring target space



Virtual screening

Medicinal chemistry

ADMET

Structure-/ligand-based design

Challenges

- Integrate heterogenerous approaches ٠
- Increase success by promising lead
- Identify optimal starting point •
- Analyse large volume of data ٠
- Increase predictivity

Because health matters

sanofi aventis

Emil Fischer: "Key-and-Lock" Principle



Um ein Bild zu gebrauchen, will ich sagen, dass Enzym und Glucosid wie Schloss und Schlüssel zueinander passen müssen, um eine chemische Wirkung aufeinander ausüben zu können."

Berichte der Deutschen Chemischen Gesellschaft 1894.

For any biological and pharmacological effect, the ligand (*key*) must interaction with its biological target (*lock*).

Emil Fischer 1852 - 1919







Ligand – Receptor Interactions



sanofi aventis Because health matters

Rapid technology progress Structure Determination Multiple hundred protein ligand structures p.a. (> 1 Mio images p.a.) SBS type plates Data handling Crystal imaging Ultra-rapid silicium detector (SLS) datacollection in seconds to minutes Nanoliter crystallization Miniaturization LIMS with touch screen PC interface

X-ray sample changing

sanofi aventis Because health matters

Biostructure in Industry



Predictive model for the prioritization of synthesis proposals



Number of new membrane protein structures in the PDB



Sanofi aventis Because health matters

NMR based constraints for GPCR drug design



Because health matters

Different Approaches for Virtual Screening



Lead Finding by Chemogenomics

Similarity principle (Maggiora 1990)

- Similar molecules have similar physicochem&biological properties
- Applications: Analog searching, early lead optimization
- Similarity is subjective and depends on context (2D vs 3D)
- **Chemogenomics** (Frye 1999, Murcko 2001)
 - Similar molecules interact with similar proteins
 - Applications: Use ligands of related target as starting point
 - Again, similarity is subjective and depends on context

"Similar Receptors Bind Similar Ligands"





Sugen Kinome Tree: 518 kinase families arranged by sequence similarity

Sanofi aventis

Various Sources for Leads in Drug Discovery







- Robotic synthesis and purification platform for high throughput compound production
 - Dedicated chemistry team
 - Medicinal chemistry in parallel
 - Automation team
 - Robotics and analytics expertise

Therapeutic

Drug Design

areas

Chemistry

Scaffolds Library design Rxn optimization Medicinal Chemistry

Automation

Library production HT Purification Database management Bottling, logistics Libraries Lead Finding Optimization



Microwave synthesis for libraries

Advantages

- Quicker reactions due to fast heating and higher temperatures
- Frequently higher yields and less side products than classical heating
- Solids like clays can be used as µW acceptors to get even higher local temperatures (surface reactions)

Limitations

- Reactions that develop gas are unsuitable (pressure buildup)
- Not all solvents are suitable (but doping can help)
- Scale up is still problematic



Tube sizes 4, 10, 35 ml Different rack types Control by PC and autosampler 3 machines i.e. 3 samples in parallel total capacity 288 samples

Reaction types run:

Suzuki-type coupling Nucleophilic substitution on aromatic or vinylic halogen Condensation reactions, heterocycle synthesis Ester hydrolysis N-alkylation Amide coupling Aminolysis of esters Epoxide opening Mitsunobu reaction N-Acylation (e.g. urea formation) PMB protecting group cleavage Sulfonamide formation Sonogashira coupling



High Throughput Library Purification - RP

Reverse HPLC

Typical conditions:

- 120 ml/min
- 5 8 min run for 100 mg
- 30 x 100 mm or 50 x 100 mm column
- 5 μ Waters Sunfire and
- 5 μ Varian Polaris
- in-house redesigned splitter
- customized fraction collectors

500 samples / 24h





High capacity Labomatic fraction collectors (600 tubes) in RP Library Purification

Pick & Place and Pooling & Distribution Robot



High Throughput Library Purification – supercritical carbon dioxide (SFC)



Analytical system





Preparative system

Inhouse FracFinder software combines analytical and preparative data to predict and control preparative collection



Drug Discovery Value Chain



Virtual screening

Medicinal chemistry

ADMET

Structure-/ligand-based design

Challenges

- Reduce cycle times
- Optimize multiple parameters simultaneously
- Increase productivity and efficiency
- Transform data into knowledge

Because health matters

sanofi aventis

Lead Finding Strategy and Compound Property

Potency



Ligand efficiency



Molecular weight



NaturalProduct	VirtualScreening Fragments		Other
■ HTS	HistoricLeads	HistoricDrugs	

Lipophilicity (logP)



sanofi aventis

Because health matters

G.M. Keresü et al. Nature Rev. Drug Disc. 2009, 8, 203-212.

Physicochemical Properties of Marketed Drugs

Mean values for MW and logP for drug candidates in development phases.

Identification of trends in properties favoring a drug's passage through clinical development to market. Source: Database with status on ~1200 drug candidates.

Major trend: Molecular weight decreases, but more lipophilic drugs often discontinued.



Sanofi aventis

M.C. Wenlock et al. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 1250-1256.



Historical survey reveals poor pharmacokinetics as reason for failure



121 NCEs (excluding anti-infectives, more relevant)

Sanofi aventis

T. Kennedy, *Drug Disc. Today* **1997**, 2, 436-444. Prentis, R.A.; Lis, Y.; Walker, S.R. *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* **1988**, 25, 387-396.

198 NCEs

Lack of efficacy and pharmacokinetics connected in clinical studies Pharmacokinetics addressed in lead optimization prior to development

Major Causes for Attrition in Drug Discovery (internal Data)

Significant trends

- ADME is relevant in earlier phases, while toxicity and efficacy dominate attrition in later phases
- ADME is dominated by general cytochrome P450 interactions (CYP450 inhibition, induction, mechanismbased inhibition, metabolism)
- Toxicity is dominated by cardiovascular toxicity and hepatotoxicity





Major financial impact of late-stage attrition in development

- Minimizing risk of failure by rigorous quality assessment at key points in discovery
- Risk assessment for promising lead series in optimization
- Knowledge-driven decision on lead-finding
 - Assessment of multiple parameters for lead series:
 - Chemical properties, accessibility, physicochem., biology, ADME
 - Early awareness of series profile to identify issues for prioritization
 - Selection of series with best optimization potential
- Early awareness of key liabilities
 - Optimize within appropriate timeline and resources Backup lead series with significantly different profile as reserve
 - Timely react on unexpected failures due to toxicology



Multidimensional Compound Optimization



Property 2 - ADME

Property 2 - ADME

Sequential: Binding affinity optimized first, ADME properties later Multidimensional: Simultaneously monitor changes on affinity and ADME Challenge: Identify properties correlated with desirable biological profiles Adapted from T.I. Oprea, Molecules 2002, 7, 51-62.

 \Rightarrow From sequential to **multidimensional optimization** guided by parallel compound profiling and rational design



Multidimensional Compound Optimization



⇒ Combining *in-vitro* and *in-silico* tools for profiling of compound series to guide chemical optimization cycle

> Sanofi aventis Because health matters

Thrombosis and Blood Coagulation Cascade

Thrombosis

- Serious medical problem
- 50 % yearly mortality by cardiovascular ^{*} problems: heart attack, stroke, thrombosis
- Coagulation cascade highly amplified process
- Conversion of fibrinogen to fibrin and activation of platelets by thrombin receptor causing occlusive clot formation
- Inhibition of thrombin production by factor Xa effective for blocking thrombogenesis





Factor Xa Topology of Inhibitor Binding Site



Four relevant subpockets with effect on inhibitor binding affinity.

S1, S2, S4, EBP

Blocking access to catalytic triad by small-molecule inhibitors in active site should be effective to reduce thrombogenesis.



Searching for Factor Xa Lead Structures



First FXa inhibitors: Peptides Ostrem et al., *Biochemistry* **1998**, *37*, 1053-59

High affinity, but benzamidine in S1



Next generation: Small molecules Matter et al., *J. Med. Chem.* **2002**, *45*, 2749-2769



3-Oxybenzamide as Novel Factor Xa Scaffold



Screening combinatorial libraries Non-peptide **3-Oxybenzamides**



Nazaré et al., *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* **2004,** *14,* 2801-2805

Hypothesis: Basic arginine in FXa S1 pocket **But:** Conflicting SAR and docking studies

Literature: Evidence for *"reversed binding"* from thrombin

Tucker et al, J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 3210-3219



Because health matters

Reversed Binding Mode in Factor Xa



X-ray structure of Factor Xa complex (2.15 Å)



X-ray structure reveals reversed binding mode Dichloro-phenyl situated in S1, arginine in S4

Matter et al., J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 3290-3312.

Sanofi aventis

Structure-Activity Relationship of FXa



Because health matters

Detailed Interactions from X-Ray Structure

X-ray crystal structure of Factor Xa / Oxybenzamide Inhibitor Complex



Ki: 18 nM Resolution 2.7 Å

Matter et al., J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 3290-3312.



Because health matters

Structure-Activity Relationship of FXa Inhibitors



Nazaré et al., Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2004, 14, 2801-2805.



Because health matters

sanofi aventis

3D-QSAR Models for 3-Oxybenzamides



Matter et al., J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 3290-3312.

Sanofi aventis Because health matters



Chemical Interpretation of CoMFA Model



CoMFA (80 cpds): q²: **0.741**, r²: 0.947

green: steric bulk favourable yellow: steric bulk detrimental



blue: positive charge favourable red: negative charge favourable



Because health matters

Indole-2-Carboxamide as Novel FXa Scaffold



Because health matters

X-Ray of FXa / Indole-2-Carboxamide



Ki: 3 nM, Resolution 2.2 Å

Nazaré et al., J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 4511-4525.





Results from *ab-initio* calculations agree to experimental fXa affinity differences ($\Delta\Delta G$). Major source of attraction: long-range interactions such as electrostatics and dispersion. At shorter distances, the influence of electrostatic interactions increases.



Sanofi aventis

H. Matter et al. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2911-2916

Towards a hERG Homology Model

Similarity to KcsA channel (52.6 %)

• KcsA X-ray: D.A. Doyle et al. Science 1998, 280, 69

Binding site hypothesis

- Ala scanning: J.S. Mitcheson, PNAS 2000, 97, 12329
- Key residues Phe656, Tyr652, Gly648, from MK-499





Blockage of IKr / hERG in myocardium like other methansulfonanilides, e.g. dofetilide, sotalol. Risk of polyarrhythmia limits therapeutic value.

Sanofi aventis

Towards hERG Structure-Activity Relationship



Multidimensional Optimization of FXa Inhibitors

Design of selective and orally available inhibitors

- Multiple X-ray structures of FXa / ligand complexes
- Tailored scoring functions for compound design
- QSAR to predict affinity, selectivity, hERG, cytochrome P450s inhibition
- QSAR to predict oral bioavailability for different species
- Combined use for multidimensional compound optimization



Factor Xa and Blood Coagulation Cascade

Factor Xa as Drug Target

- Single extracellular protein involved in regulation mechanism
- Example of single interaction in known pathway

Drug Design

 Capabilities are at hand to design and synthesize optimized ligands although still challenging







Binding affinity profiles for three antipsychotic drugs on aminergic GPCRs. Many effective drugs for human diseases bind to more than one receptor.

How is drug promiscuity / polypharmacology linked to disease mechanism ?



Selectivity Profiles in Diseases

Sugen Kinome Tree: 518 kinases arranged by sequence similarity.

Selectivity for kinase ligands sometimes difficult to obtain.

How translates selectivity to outcome in disease model?

Clinical implication of inhibitor selectivity profile on human disease?





Sanofi aventis

Different kinase selectivity profiles of three different kinase inhibitor compounds.

Molecular Networks of Drug Targets

Reductionist view

- Drugs act in cells on single proteins.
- System biology view
 - Proteins organized in multiprotein complexes.
 - Dynamical changes of their organization.

Most drugs do not interact specifically

- Interaction with many targets in complexes.
- Side effects linked to pathways in other diseases.
- Drugs disturb network at different levels:
 - Expression, modification, protein–protein int.,metabolites

Example: Bcr-Abl kinase (Myeloid leukemia)

- Interaction to 7 proteins in different pathways.
 - Grb2, Shc1, Crk-I, c-Cbl, p85, Sts-1, SHIP-2
- Inhibitors disrupt this network differently.
 - Perturbation leads to "remodeling" of interaction space.



Single protein-protein interaction network for Bcr-Abl.

Bcr-Abl inhibitor Imatinib

Adapted from: Superti-Furga, G. et al. *PNAS* **2009**, *106*, 7414-7419.



From Genes and Proteins to Networks, Dynamics and Disease Biology

- Decipher connectivity and dynamics of protein networks and build "system-level" understanding of health and disease state
- Study network modulation at multiple network nodes as a result of individual genetic background and therapeutic intervention
- Link compound profiles / network changes to clinical outcome





Chemistry Armin Bauer Marc Nazaré Kurt Ritter Matthias Urmann Michael Wagner Volkmar Wehner David W. Will

Biology Jörg Czech Martin Lorenz **DMPK** Angela Dudda

Drug Design Karl-Heinz Baringhaus Stefan Güssregen Gerhard Heßler Hans Matter Marco Müller Friedemann Schmidt Structural Biology Herman Schreuder Ulrich Wendt

HTPS Peter Hamley Erich von Rödern Angelika Weber

